1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	March 19, 2008 Concord, New 1	
5	concord, new i	REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE
6	ъп.	DR 00 015
7	KE:	DE 08-015 UNITIL ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.:
8		Default Service Proposals for Request for Proposals for Default
9		Service for the Company's G1 and Non-G1 Customers.
10		
11		
12	PRESENT:	Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Graham J. Morrison
13		Commissioner Clifton C. Below
14		
15		Connie Fillion, Clerk
16		
17	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.: Gary M. Epler, Esq.
18		
19		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Rorie Hollenberg, Esq. Office of Consumer Advocate
20		
21		Reptg. PUC Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq.
22		
23	Cou	rt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

1			
2	I N D E X		
3		PAGE	NO
4	WITNESS PANEL: KAREN M. ASBURY		
5	ROBERT S. FURINO		
6	Direct examination by Mr. Epler	7	
7	Cross-examination by Ms. Hollenberg	18	
8	Cross-examination by Ms. Amidon	25	
9	Cross-examination by Mr. McCluskey	38	
10	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Below	46	
11	Interrogatories by Chrmn. Getz	46	
12			
13			
14	* * *		
15			
16			
17	CLOSING STATEMENTS:		
18	Ms. Hollenberg	49	
19	Ms. Amidon	50	
20	Mr. Epler	50	
21			
22			
23			
24			

1				
2				
3		EXHIBITS		
4	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	NO.
5	1	Petition, Motions, Testimonies & Supporting Schedules	5	
6 7	2	UES Default Service Bid Evaluation Report - Tab A (CONFIDENTIAL)	6	
8	3	Non-G1 Renewable Portfolio Standard Compliance Cost Calculation	6	
9	4	RESERVED (Re: Legal charges)	38	
11	5	RESERVED (Re: Uncollected accounting costs)	39	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning,
3	everyone. We'll open the hearing in docket DE 08-015. Or
4	February 7, 2008, Unitil Energy Systems filed a copy of
5	its request for proposals that it issued on February 5
6	soliciting Default Service for its Large Commercial and
7	Industrial customers and its Small Commercial and
8	Residential customers. The solicitation sought bids for
9	100 percent of power supply requirements for its G1
10	customers for the months of May, June, and July, and
11	25 percent of the Non-Gl customer requirements for the one
12	year period May 1, 2008 through April 30, 2009. Order of
13	notice was issued on February 28 setting the hearing for
14	this morning.
15	Can we take appearances please.
16	MR. EPLER: Yes. Gary Epler, on behalf
17	of Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. Good morning,
18	Commissioners, Mr. Chairman.
19	CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
20	CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
21	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
22	MS. HOLLENBERG: Good morning. Rorie
23	Hollenberg and Stephen Eckberg here for the Office of
24	Consumer Advocate.
	{DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

1	CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
2	CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
3	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
4	MS. AMIDON: Good morning. Suzanne
5	Amidon, for Commission Staff, and with me today is George
6	McCluskey of the Electric Division.
7	CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
8	CMSR. MORRISON: Good morning.
9	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. I see we
10	have the witnesses ready to go. Is there anything before
11	we swear in the witnesses and hear their testimony,
12	Mr. Epler?
13	MR. EPLER: Just Mr. Chairman, thank,
14	you. Just to follow the procedure that we've done in
15	other Default Service hearing dockets, I would like to
16	mark several exhibits. The first is the blue volume that
17	contains the petition, motion and testimonies and
18	supporting schedules. Since this is a new docket for this
19	year, I guess this would be "Unitil Exhibit Number 1".
20	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Will be so marked.
21	(The document, as described, was
22	herewith marked as Exhibit 1 for
23	identification.)
24	MR. EPLER: And, then, the second is the
	{DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

_	confidencial material that was separately lifed. I would
2	mark that as "Unitil Exhibit Number 2.
3	CHAIRMAN GETZ: So marked.
4	(The document, as described, was
5	herewith marked as Exhibit 2 for
6	identification.)
7	MR. EPLER: And, then, the third, there
8	should be a sheet in front of you, and I apologize that
9	this was not prefiled, but this is, in some discussions
10	with the Office of Consumer Advocate, some material that
11	they requested. And, it will be explained during the
12	direct and I guess subsequent cross-examination. And, I
13	would mark ask this to be marked as "Unitil Exhibit
14	Number 3".
15	CHAIRMAN GETZ: So marked.
16	(The document, as described, was
17	herewith marked as Exhibit 3 for
18	identification.)
19	MR. EPLER: With that, I can proceed.
20	Swear the witnesses please.
21	(Whereupon Karen M. Asbury and Robert S
22	Furino were duly sworn and cautioned by
23	the Court Reporter.)
24	KAREN M. ASBURY, SWORN
	{DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 ROBERT S. FURINO, SWORN
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. EPLER:
- 4 Q. Good morning, Ms. Asbury. Can you please state your
- full name, title and business address.
- 6 A. (Asbury) Yes. My name is Karen M. Asbury. I'm
- 7 Director of Regulatory Services for Unitil Service
- 8 Corp., 6 Liberty Lane West, Hampton, New Hampshire.
- 9 Q. And, can you turn to the document in the blue binder
- 10 that has been marked as "Unitil Exhibit 1", and turn to
- 11 the tabs marked "Exhibit KMA-1" and "Schedules KMA-1"
- 12 through "KMA-4", as well as, in the confidential
- 13 materials, which is "Unitil Exhibit Number 2", and the
- 14 stamped -- the Bates stamped Pages 163 and 164. Was
- 15 this material prepared by you or under your direction?
- 16 A. (Asbury) Yes, it was.
- 17 Q. And, do you adopt these documents as your sworn
- 18 testimony and supporting exhibits?
- 19 A. (Asbury) Yes.
- 20 Q. Thank you. Mr. Furino, can you please state your full
- 21 name, title and business address?
- 22 A. (Furino) Robert S. Furino, Senior Energy Trader, Unitil
- 23 Energy Systems, 6 Liberty Lane West, Hampton, New
- Hampshire.

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 Q. And, please summarize your current responsibilities at
- 2 UES.
- 3 A. (Furino) My responsibilities relate to energy supply
- 4 procurement. I have managed many different supply
- 5 solicitations.
- 6 Q. And, could you please turn to the document that's been
- 7 marked as "Unitil Exhibit Number 1", and the tabs
- 8 marked "Exhibit RSF-1" and "Schedules RSF-1" through
- 9 "RSF-3", as well as turning to Unitil Exhibit Number 2,
- in the confidential material contained in Tab A. Was
- 11 this material prepared by you or under your direction?
- 12 A. (Furino) Yes.
- 13 Q. And, do you have any changes or corrections to these
- 14 materials?
- 15 A. (Furino) No.
- 16 Q. And, do you adopt them as your sworn testimony and
- 17 supporting exhibits in this proceeding?
- 18 A. (Furino) Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Ms. Asbury, could you please summarize your testimony
- and exhibits.
- 21 A. (Asbury) Yes. In my testimony I present the proposed
- 22 changes to the Default Service charges effective May
- 23 1st, 2008. In Schedule KMA-1, I provide a copy of the
- 24 redline tariff, which contains the proposed Default

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 Service charges. In Schedule KMA-2, I provide a
- 2 calculation of the Non-G1 class Default Service
- 3 charges. In Schedule KMA-3, I provide the calculation
- 4 of the Default Service charges for the G1 class. And,
- 5 in Schedule KMA-4, I provide bill impacts.
- 6 Q. And, can you please describe how the Default Service
- 7 rates are calculated?
- 8 A. (Asbury) Yes. The monthly rates are calculated by
- 9 dividing the total costs for each month, including the
- 10 reconciliation amount, by the estimated kilowatt-hour
- 11 purchases for that month, adjusted by a loss factor.
- 12 The fixed rate for the Non-G1 class is calculated the
- same way, except that the calculation is based on the
- 14 entire six month period.
- 15 Q. And, could you summarize the bill impacts?
- 16 A. (Asbury) Yes. The bill impacts can be found in
- 17 Schedule KMA-4. As shown on Page 1, residential
- 18 customers on Default Service will see an increase of
- 19 about 5.1 percent. General Service customers will see
- an increase of about 5.3 percent. Large General
- 21 Service customers will see an increase of about
- 8.7 percent. And, Outdoor Lighting customers will see
- an increase of about 3 percent. I'd like to note that
- 24 these changes relate to changes in the Default Service

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 rate only. As indicated in my prefiled testimony, in a
- 2 separate filing, UES also filed changes to its External
- 3 Delivery Charge and Stranded Cost Charge for effect May
- 4 1st, 2008.
- 5 Q. Okay. And, can you please discuss the status of the
- 6 allocation of internal administrative costs to Default
- 7 Service?
- 8 A. (Asbury) Yes. In the last filing, Staff and UES agreed
- 9 to review the allocation of the Energy Contracts time
- 10 to Default Service. Staff had raised a concern that
- the 400 hours allocated for Energy Contracts appeared
- 12 low. UES, Staff, and the OCA have discussed this and
- 13 have agreed to continue to use the 400 hours for the
- 14 time being. UES has agreed to track the Energy
- 15 Contracts related time going forward, and the parties
- have agreed to work together to define the tasks that
- 17 will be included in that tracking.
- 18 Q. Do you have anything further to add at this time?
- 19 A. (Asbury) No, I do not.
- 20 Q. Thank you. Mr. Furino, could you please summarize your
- 21 testimony.
- 22 A. (Furino) Yes. My testimony provides an overview of the
- 23 solicitation process, including the response to the
- 24 solicitation and details of the evaluation conducted.

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

1	As a result of the solicitation, UES has contracted for
2	a three-month Default Service power supply for
3	100 percent of the supply requirements for its G1
4	customers beginning May 1st, 2008 and a 12-month power
5	supply for 25 percent of its Default Service
6	requirements for its Non-G1 customers also beginning
7	May 1st, 2008. Unitil employed a solicitation process
8	similar to that which the Commission has previously
9	approved in Unitil's Default Service dockets. Unitil
10	selected Constellation Energy Commodities Group for the
11	12-month Non-G1 supply requirement and FPL Energy
12	Marketing as the supplier for the three-month G1 supply
13	requirement.
14	In addition, my testimony reviews UES's
15	approach to RPS compliance, including its rationale for
16	managing compliance outside of the Default Service
17	supply process and its intent to include RPS cost
18	estimates in Default Service rates and its estimates of
19	RPS compliance costs.
20	MR. EPLER: Mr. Chairman, just if I can
21	pause here for a moment. The procedure we followed I
22	believe in the past two or three hearings, with regard to
23	confidential information, is we have not paused. There
24	appear not to be any additional parties here, so that my
	{DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

1 recommendation is that we just proceed with the questions,

- 2 and then we would work with the court stenographer to
- 3 indicate the parts of the transcript that are
- 4 confidential. If that's satisfactory?
- 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: That's my recollection.
- 6 Is there any objection to that process?
- 7 MS. HOLLENBERG: No.
- 8 MS. AMIDON: That's fine.
- 9 MR. EPLER: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. EPLER:
- 11 Q. Mr. Furino, can you please describe the schedule for
- 12 Unitil's next solicitation and for what periods of
- service Unitil will be seeking bids?
- 14 A. (Furino) UES's next Default Service solicitation will
- 15 be for 100 percent of G1 supplies for the three-month
- period of August 1st, 2008 through October 31st, 2008.
- 17 UES plans to issue the RFP for these supplies on May 8,
- 18 with a filing for approval of the solicitation results
- 19 planned for June 13th, and approval anticipated on June
- 20 20th, 2008.
- 21 Q. And, does your testimony include an update of a
- 22 quarterly Customer Migration Report?
- 23 A. (Furino) Yes. Attached as Schedule RSF-3 is an updated
- Customer Migration Report. The Report shows that --

1 shows customer sales on one sheet and customer counts

- on another. Each sheet lists the monthly volumes
- 3 served by competitive suppliers and the total monthly
- 4 company volumes, then shows the percentage of those
- 5 volumes served by competitive suppliers. If you turn
- to the sheets as of February 2008, for G1 customers,
- 7 77.6 percent of sales were supplied by competitive
- 8 suppliers.
- 9 $\,$ Q. And, for the record, those are on the stamped sheets
- 10 078 and 079.
- 11 A. (Furino) Thank you.
- 12 Q. And, could you please provide more detail concerning
- the results of the solicitation?
- 14 A. (Furino) Yes. The confidential materials labeled "Tab
- 15 A" to the Bid Evaluation Report contain the details of
- the solicitation results. UES received _____
- for its small customer Non-G1 group and ______
- 18 for its large customers. The list of respondents is
- 19 shown on stamped Page 9. The pricing results are shown
- 20 beginning on Page 11, beginning with the results for
- 21 the Non-Gl bids, then for the Gl bids. For each, the
- 22 pricing summary begins with capacity included, then the
- 23 capacity excluded, and then the implied capacity price.
- The pricing summaries show the average price over the {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

1	period, including a ranking by price and the expected
2	total cost,
3	
4	·
5	In this solicitation, we received a
6	response for the first time from
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		So, Unitil Energy Systems selected FPL as the
8		winning bidder.
9	Q.	
10		
11		
12		?
13	A.	(Furino) Uh-huh.
14	Q.	And, that's the delta that you're allowing for in that
15		calculation, is that correct?
16	A.	(Furino) Right.
17		
18		·
19	Q.	And, were there any changes to the standard Power
20		Supply Agreement forms?
21	A.	(Furino)
22		
23		
24		

1		
2		
3		·
4	Q.	And that is found on stamped
5		Page 126, is that correct?
6	Α.	(Furino) That's correct. And,
7		, which can be found on
8		Page
9	Q.	Is that at 131?
10	A.	(Furino) 131, okay. That's right
11		
12		
13		Which, in any case, we
14		respectfully request approval according to the
15		schedule.
16	Q.	Okay. And, lastly, turning to the single page that's
17		been marked as Exhibit "Unitil Exhibit Number 3".
18		Can you explain what's on that page please?
19	Α.	(Furino) Yes. We wanted to demonstrate a little more
20		clearly what the renewable portfolio costs were. And,
21		this sheet lists our kilowatt-hour purchases, which
22		you'll find listed in Exhibits KMA-2 and KMA-3. We're
23		dividing those kilowatt-hour purchases by a thousand to
24		get to megawatt-hours. And, the requirement for the
	{[DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- two types, Type III Renewable Energy Credits and Type
- 2 IV Renewable Energy Credits, is 4 percent. So, the
- 3 third line is taking 4 percent of those purchases.
- 4 Unitil's price estimate is \$28. Then, the product of
- 5 those is Unitil's RECs cost estimate, which those match
- 6 what's on Page 5 of Exhibit -- Schedule KMA-2 and
- Page 5 of KMA-3, for the Non-G1 and G1 respectively.
- 8 The "cost per kilowatt-hour" is just the division of
- 9 the RECs cost divided by the kilowatt-hour purchases.
- 10 And, these purchases -- this cost per kilowatt-hour is
- 11 stated in terms of purchases, not customer level retail
- 12 sales. So, this is a wholesale equivalent. And, you
- 13 can see that the cost for compliance are the same for
- the Non-G1 and the G1.
- 15 Q. Do you have anything further to add at this time?
- 16 A. (Furino) No, I do not. Thank you.
- 17 MR. EPLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
- 18 tender the witnesses for cross-examination.
- 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- Ms. Hollenberg.
- 21 MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you. Good
- 22 morning.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MS. HOLLENBERG:

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 Q. I guess, just to start, because we've just finished
- 2 talking about the RPS compliance, Exhibit 3, I just
- 3 want to follow up with you on that. And, I'll allow
- 4 either one of you to answer, whoever feels more
- 5 comfortable doing so. You mentioned, Mr. Furino, that
- 6 the cost per kilowatt-hour number is a wholesale
- 7 number. And, I'm wondering if you can provide me with
- 8 a retail number, in terms of cost per -- cents per
- 9 kilowatt-hour, as well as a total amount that retail
- 10 customers, residential customers will -- or, if you
- 11 don't want to break it out into residential, G1 or
- Non-G1, just the total amount that customers will be
- 13 paying for the RPS compliance in the next period?
- 14 A. (Asbury) Yes. I have converted the wholesale cost per
- 15 kilowatt-hour to a retail cost using the loss factors
- that are in the filing. For Non-G1, the retail cost is
- \$0.00119 per kilowatt-hour. And, for G1, the retail
- 18 cost is \$0.00117 per kilowatt-hour. And, the total
- 19 cost, which I think is what you asked for, --
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. (Asbury) -- if not, please re-ask, that's shown also on
- 22 Exhibit Number 3. You can see, at the top, for the
- Non-G1 class, the total cost for the periods are
- 24 \$508,000. And, for the G1 class, it's about \$27,000.

1 And, that's for a three-month period for the G1 class.

- 2 Q. Thank you. And, so, just for the record, you'd agree
- 3 that Unitil's RPS obligation for 2008 is 0.5 percent
- 4 for Class IV and 3.5 percent for Class III RECs?
- 5 A. (Furino) That's correct.
- 6 Q. And, that you're proposing that Unitil will meet this
- 7 obligation during the procurement period covered by
- 8 this docket through an adder to the Default Service
- 9 rates? In other words, they're not included in the
- 10 Default Service rates that were bid?
- 11 A. (Furino) They're not included in the supplies that are
- 12 being provided for under the Default Service Power
- 13 Supply Agreements, that's correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. And, you did -- you base the adder, the amount
- of the adder on the alternative compliance payment as a
- 16 proxy for the actual amount?
- 17 A. (Furino) That's correct. Unitil recognizes that the
- 18 Commission did issue an inflation-adjusted alternative
- 19 compliance price or set of alternative compliance
- 20 prices for the year 2008. Nonetheless, Unitil is
- 21 pleased with its estimate.
- 22 Q. And, you've talked about how you calculated the adder
- and what's included in it. What happens if Unitil's
- actual costs of compliance with RPS are different from {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- the costs it estimated? You'd agree that it's a
- 2 reconcilable process?
- 3 A. (Asbury) Yes.
- 4 Q. How will UES ensure, for purposes of reconciliation,
- 5 that the revenue billed for the RPS adder is matched
- 6 against the costs incurred for RPS compliance? Are you
- 7 planning to do anything to separate those, in terms of
- 8 your Default Service reconciliation calculation?
- 9 A. (Asbury) Well, we don't have a separate tracking
- 10 mechanism, if you will, for those costs. But the RPS
- 11 costs, the actual costs will go right into the Default
- 12 Service bucket, if you will, so the actual costs will
- 13 automatically be reconciled. So, to the extent that
- 14 the revenue, which is reflected at the rate I stated
- 15 earlier, does not match the costs, then there would
- either be an over or underrecovery of those costs.
- 17 Q. And, you'll be able to assure yourself of the -- in
- 18 terms of the revenue for alternative or for compliance
- 19 with the RPS, you'll be able to assure yourself that
- 20 it's used for the expenses for compliance with the RPS
- 21 standard? Or, is that not something that you think --
- 22 A. (Asbury) Well, the revenue that we get from Default
- 23 Service is a -- there's a total revenue. We don't try
- 24 to separate out this revenue and set aside for RPS.

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 It's not a separate reconciliation. In other words,
- 2 all Default Service revenues and all Default Service
- 3 costs are reconciled together for the class.
- 4 Q. Okay. When -- oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 5 A. (Asbury) I was just going to add that the -- we have
- 6 separate accounts established to track RPS, so you will
- 7 be able to see the actual costs of RPS, in actual data.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. (Furino) And, those are by RECs type.
- 10 Q. Uh-huh.
- 11 A. (Furino) So, the four types, and by the Non-Gl and the
- 12 G1 customer groups.
- 13 Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. Once the Commission's
- 14 rulemaking is complete and sources of New Hampshire
- 15 RECs are certified, how does Unitil propose to comply
- with the RPS? For instance, would Unitil purchase, buy
- 17 REC credits to meet the requirement, or are you just
- 18 planning to proceed with the alternative compliance
- 19 payment, regardless of what the market is showing?
- 20 A. (Furino) Unitil will seek to -- will look to the market
- and look for physical renewable energy certificates as
- its first option to comply. We have contacted RECs
- 23 brokers, renewable energy brokers, and there has been
- no market activity with regard to New Hampshire RECs at {DE 08-015} [REDACTED FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 this point, but we will continue to do that. If they
- 2 are available in the market place, we will buy them.
- 3 If not, our second option is we will make alternative
- 4 compliance payments.
- 5 Q. Thank you. Just a couple of questions about the
- 6 solicitation and the evaluation of the bids. Would you
- 7 -- Would you agree that the solicitation that was just
- 8 completed is fairly consistent with the solicitations
- 9 you've done in the recent past for Default Service? In
- other words, there are no changes to the way you
- 11 solicited the bids?
- 12 A. (Furino) That's correct. I'd agree with that.
- 13 Q. And, would you agree that there are no differences or
- 14 no significant differences in the way that you
- 15 evaluated the bids that you received in response to
- this solicitation, as compared to prior solicitations?
- 17 A. (Furino) That's correct.
- 18 Q. And, Ms. Asbury, when you calculated the proposed
- 19 changes in the rates, did you -- would you agree that
- 20 you did that calculation fairly consistently with the
- 21 way you've done that in the recent bids?
- 22 A. (Asbury) Yes, it is generally consistent with past
- 23 calculations. However, I would note that this is our
- 24 first annual reconciliation. As you may recall from

- 1 prior filings, we had proposed to move to an annual
- 2 reconciliation. But, in our last filing, we had an
- 3 interim six month reconciliation. So, that would be
- 4 one difference, that this is the first time the annual
- 5 reconciliation has been put into place.
- 6 Q. Thank you. And, just a last question, and I asked you
- 7 this this morning. This morning there was a report in
- 8 the Concord Monitor about increases in rates. And, I
- 9 just want to confirm for the record that those
- increases mentioned in the paper include both this
- filing, as well as the filing related to External
- 12 Delivery and Stranded Cost Charges?
- 13 A. (Asbury) Yes, they do.
- 14 MS. HOLLENBERG: Okay. If I could just
- 15 have one moment please. Actually, one last question.
- 16 BY MS. HOLLENBERG:
- 17 Q. Ms. Asbury, are you able to provide the average monthly
- 18 usage over the last calendar year, an estimate of that
- 19 average monthly usage by residential customers?
- 20 A. (Asbury) We had a record request, I'm not sure if it
- 21 was in a Default Service proceeding or in our last
- 22 reconciliation and rate filing, but it was a fairly
- recent record request, which essentially requested that
- information. And, using approximate figures, the
 - {DE 08-015} [REDACTED FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 residential average usage was about 650 kilowatt-hours
- per month, and the median was approximately 550
- 3 kilowatt-hours per month. Again, those figures are
- 4 approximate.
- 5 Q. Thank you. So, in terms of your Schedule KMA-4, Page 2
- of 8, that would fall -- that average usage number
- 7 would fall between the 500 and 750 averages, which is
- 8 somewhere in the nature of 5 percent increase?
- 9 A. (Asbury) That's correct.
- 10 Q. And, would Unitil have any objection to providing an
- 11 average monthly usage figure over the prior 12 months
- 12 in future Default Service filings for Non-G1 customers
- in their testimony? I recognize that you do provide
- 14 this chart, and I do appreciate that you do a -- you do
- provide different averages. And, I guess I'm just
- 16 wondering if somewhere in the testimony Unitil would
- 17 agree to giving what the last 12 months average is, so
- that the OCA can have a sense of where average
- 19 customers are falling on this chart?
- 20 A. (Asbury) We can do that.
- MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you.
- 22 WITNESS ASBURY: You're welcome.
- MS. HOLLENBERG: I don't have any other
- 24 questions. Thank you.
 - {DE 08-015} [REDACTED FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Amidon.
- MS. AMIDON: Thank you. And, as usual,
- 3 I'll be sharing cross-examination with Mr. McCluskey.
- 4 Good morning.
- 5 BY MS. AMIDON:
- 6 Q. I'm still trying to understand some of the responses
- 7 that you gave to Ms. Hollenberg regarding accounting
- 8 for this RPS adder. You say there is a separate
- 9 account for revenues or there is a separate account for
- 10 costs?
- 11 A. (Asbury) Separate account for costs.
- 12 Q. And, how will you match the revenues to the costs? Are
- 13 you going to be keeping track of that adder?
- 14 A. (Asbury) No, we do not do that separately. Default
- 15 Service costs and revenues are reconciled in total.
- So, what we look at is all the Default Service costs,
- 17 tracked separately by account, and then we have a
- 18 Default Service rate, which is billed, which is the
- 19 total rate reflected in the filing, which is the
- 20 revenue, that's then compared against those costs, to
- 21 determine whether you've over or undercollected.
- 22 Q. So, what are you -- how are you going to know whether
- 23 you have received revenue via the adder in excess of
- the costs for the certificates?
 - {DE 08-015} [REDACTED FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 A. (Asbury) The adder is not a separate rate component.
- 2 I've calculated it to give the parties what the
- 3 approximate cost is reflected in the Default Service
- 4 rate.
- 5 Q. So, if there -- is it possible then that you could
- 6 recover more money through the adder than you -- than
- 7 the cost of the RECs?
- 8 A. (Asbury) It's possible that you could collect more or
- 9 less, but that will be fully reconciled through the
- 10 Default Service reconciliation process.
- 11 Q. Let me ask you how -- Mr. Furino, you said that you
- 12 knew that the Commission had inflated the -- had
- 13 adjusted the alternative compliance payment for
- inflation as of January 1?
- 15 A. (Furino) Yes.
- 16 Q. But you said you are pleased with your estimates?
- 17 A. (Furino) Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. So, how are you going to -- how are you going to deal
- 19 with the difference?
- 20 A. (Furino) If Unitil ends up making alternative
- 21 compliance price payments -- alternative compliance
- payments in the summer of 2009 to comply with the 2008
- 23 requirements, and that that rate stays the same for all
- of 2008, we would expect approximately to be
 - {DE 08-015} [REDACTED FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 approximately 3 percent short, which is the amount of
- 2 the new inflation adjustment, short, of undercollecting
- 3 in that regard.
- 4 Q. And, so, what would you do then if you're 3 percent
- 5 short?
- 6 A. (Furino) That would simply be part of the annual
- 7 reconciliation or future reconciliation.
- 8 Q. And, you would be charging interest on that amount?
- 9 A. (Asbury) Yes.
- 10 Q. I notice in the current filing, maybe you can help me
- 11 out, there seems to be no amount for the RECs for
- 12 January 2008. And, there also seems to be no
- information on what the Company is doing with respect
- 14 to RECs between January 2008 and May. So, the months
- of January, February, March and April aren't accounted
- for. Yes, it's KMA-3, Page 3 of 5. I think that's
- 17 right. But that just happens to be the Gl. There's a
- 18 similar situation for the Non-G1 in KMA-2, Page 3 of 5.
- 19 Why don't we go to KMA-2, because I have more questions
- on that exhibit anyway.
- 21 And, then, I don't understand this,
- 22 because, if I look at Page 1 of KMA-2, two-thirds down
- 23 the page at the left it says "plus: January 2008
- Non-G1 Class RPS accrual", and then, if you go across,

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 it says "\$95,418". So, my question is, how do you --
- what are you doing between January and -- for January,
- 3 February, March, and April for RECs, to recover that
- 4 cost?
- 5 A. (Asbury) Okay. Let me just start with the annual
- 6 reconciliation process that was approved by the
- 7 Commission is that we would move to an annual process
- 8 using actual data. And, so, this filing now reflects
- 9 actual data through January 2008. And, we would --
- 10 that's the balance that we're using to reconcile over
- 11 the next 12-month period, May 2008 through April 2009.
- 12 So, this filing does not include data for February,
- March, and April.
- 14 Q. Right. And, that's why I asked what is happening for
- 15 those dates. But, if you could explain what's happened
- for January first, that would be helpful. January '08
- 17 I'm talking about, with respect to the RPS?
- 18 A. (Furino) You mean what already happened?
- 19 Q. Right. Because, if you look at KMA-2, Page 3 of 5, and
- 20 you look at the left it says "January-08". And, if you
- 21 go to paragraph or the Column (j) and move down the
- 22 column it says "zero".
- 23 A. (Asbury) Okay. Let me try to answer that, because this
- relates to the second part of your question. This is

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

the actual booked data. And, we have not yet paid any 1 2 RPS costs, although we have a liability associated with 3 that. So, in order to appropriately -- in order to 4 appropriately calculate interest due to customers, we 5 are only putting those -- I should say "charging" those 6 costs to customers when they are actually paid. And, 7 then, separately we are accounting for the liability, because we do have an RPS obligation beginning 8 January 1st, 2008. So, that is why, when you go to 9 Schedule KMA-2, Page 1 of 5, we are adding back in the 10 RPS accrual. What that reflects is the liability that 11 we have for RPS costs for the month of January. And, 12 13 we add that back in to the reconciliation, because we have that ongoing liability. So, let's just assume for 14 example that next month we actually make the payment. 15 Well, we'll record that on our books, and we know we 16 have that liability. So, as part of this filing, the 17 Company did not want to refund, so to speak, by just 18 19 taking the balance and refund that money back to 20 customers when it has this associated liability. 21 So, did the customers pay anything in January? I mean, 22 you know, I'm just not following you, and I apologize 23 if I'm making things more confusing. What did the 24 customers pay in January? Because I understood that {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 the Commission, I think in the September proceeding,
- 2 approved the mechanism that you developed to create the
- 3 adder. And, the idea was that would have to take
- 4 effect January 1. So, I was puzzled when I look at
- 5 this schedule and see no -- nothing there for January.
- 6 A. (Asbury) There are no -- There were no costs charged to
- 7 the account. However, the RPS was included in the
- 8 Default Service rate.
- 9 Q. And, what are you doing for February, March, and April?
- 10 A. (Asbury) The costs of RPS were reflected in the
- 11 February, March, and April rates, but there are no --
- there are no costs reflected in this filing, simply
- 13 because we've stopped our reconciliation with actual
- 14 data through January 2008.
- 15 Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't know who's
- better to ask this. Have the power costs for the
- 17 period May through October gone up, the power cost
- 18 component gone up relative to current rates?
- 19 A. (Furino) Yes, they have. I will tell you that market
- 20 prices have risen dramatically. Unitil believes that
- 21 it achieved a very efficient price result as a result
- of the auction. I also wanted to add that the
- 23 portfolio process that Unitil has in place for its
- Non-G1 customers is providing benefits right now. The {DE 08-015} [REDACTED FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 six months that we've contracted with from this new
- 2 supply with Constellation, for the 25 percent piece of
- 3 the Non-G1 supply, _____
- 4 _______. But, because of
- 5 prior purchases made that, you know, account for the
- 6 75 percent, the remaining 75 percent of this Non-G1
- 7 rate for the six months, the overall average on a
- 8 wholesale cost basis is \$95 per megawatt-hour, or 9.5
- 9 cents. So, I mean, that's a significant -- significant
- 10 difference.
- 11 Q. So, what is the percentage increase for the G1 and the
- Non-G1 classes in the power costs?
- 13 A. (Furino) On a power cost basis, the going six-month
- 14 period to six-month period, accounting for all of the
- 15 Non-Gl supplies under contract, the six months from
- November through April 2008 are \$94.79 per
- 17 megawatt-hour. The upcoming six-month period, which is
- 18 May 2008 through October 2008, \$95.33. So, an increase
- of approximately 50 cents a megawatt-hour, or half a
- cent per kilowatt-hour. Percentage? 0.6 percent.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. (Furino) Sorry.
- 23 Q. And, for the G1? And, was that for the Non-G1 that you
- 24 just recited?

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 A. (Furino) Those were Non-G1 figures.
- 2 Q. Okay. And, for the G1, do you have the same
- 3 information?
- 4 A. (Furino) I don't have the same information, but the
- 5 wholesale costs are higher. The current -- I have the
- 6 current three-month prices. Again, we don't calculate
- 7 a single price for the three-month period, but we have
- 8 monthly prices for each of those periods. I can rattle
- 9 off the three sets of prices, but --
- 10 Q. That's okay. I wonder, though, did you look at the
- same period, because we're talking about the summer
- 12 months, did you look at what the power price increases
- were compared to the same period last year?
- 14 A. (Furino) I did. And, they are _____ higher.
- This is summer over last summer.
- 16 Q. Right. Okay.
- 17 A. (Furino) And, maybe I should also add that, beginning
- 18 June 2008, the capacity, the transition capacity prices
- 19 increase by approximately 23 percent, as the price goes
- from \$3.05 a kilowatt-month to \$3.75 a kilowatt-month,
- 21 and that's a significant piece as well.
- 22 Q. Thank you. I wanted to ask Ms. Asbury about legal
- charges that appear on Page 3 of 5 of KMA-2. And, in
- the prior, I think it's the September filing -- let me {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 just check, take a minute here to check that. In the
- September filing of last year, there was a similar
- 3 organization of the columns here that you see on Page 3
- 4 of 5 of KMA-2. And, the estimated legal charges appear
- 5 for the month of November '07, and the amount in that
- 6 prior filing is \$7,945 estimate, and that is for
- 7 November only. And, I would say it's also for only the
- 8 Non-G1. I know the G1 has legal expenses in it as
- 9 well.
- 10 But, if you look at your filing, and
- Page 3 of 5 on KMA-2, Column (h), it actually shows
- "zero" legal charges for November, and then December is
- 13 "10,693", and, for January, we see "1,782", which is,
- 14 you know, roughly, I don't know, what, three or four
- thousand dollars more than originally estimated?
- 16 Probably more in the nature of almost five. So, what
- 17 happened there?
- 18 A. (Asbury) Which filing are you looking at to compare
- 19 that to?
- 20 Q. I'm looking at the current filing.
- 21 A. (Asbury) Compared to?
- 22 Q. And, I'm look at the estimate of your prior filing,
- 23 that -- this would be the September filing. And, I'm
- looking at KMA-2 from the September filing. If you

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 would like me to show you what I have here, I would be
- 2 happy to give it to you.
- 3 MR. EPLER: Can I see that?
- 4 (Atty. Amidon showing document to Atty.
- 5 Epler.)
- 6 MS. AMIDON: I didn't make copies of it,
- 7 because it's already part of the record.
- 8 WITNESS ASBURY: Schedule KMA-2, Page 3?
- 9 MS. AMIDON: I think that's Page 5,
- 10 isn't it, Gary?
- 11 WITNESS ASBURY: Page 5.
- MR. EPLER: It's Page 5. And, I'm
- looking at Page 5 of 5 of Schedule KMA-2.
- MS. AMIDON: Column (h).
- WITNESS FURINO: Is it 5 of 5 or 3 of 5?
- MS. AMIDON: In the current filing, the
- one we're talking about today, is Page 3 of 5. This old
- exhibit from September is Page 5 of 5.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A. (Asbury) And, in the November filing -- sorry, the
- 21 September filing, it's showing November 2007 as an
- 22 estimate.
- 23 BY MS. AMIDON:
- 24 Q. Yes. Right.

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 A. (Asbury) And, now we're looking at the actuals.
- 2 Q. Right. And, there's nothing for November. And, then,
- 3 there's an amount that's about almost \$3,000 more than
- 4 the estimate in December, then another almost \$2,000 in
- January. So, it's almost \$5,000 greater than the
- 6 estimate. So, I just wanted to know what happened.
- 7 A. (Furino) I'm sorry, are we looking at the Non-Gl legal
- 8 expenses or --
- 9 Q. Right. I'm just looking right now at Non-G1. I just
- want the Commission to know that there's probably
- 11 similar information in the G1, but I'm just focusing on
- Non-G1 just to understand what happened.
- 13 A. (Furino) The costs that you're seeing that are in the
- current filing are how high? I'm seeing "\$12,474".
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. (Furino) Okay. And, those compare to the September
- filing estimates, which were --
- 18 A. (Asbury) Approximately 8,000.
- 19 Q. Uh-huh. So, you can say "13" and "8".
- 20 A. (Furino) Okay.
- 21 A. (Asbury) One, obviously, was an estimate, and now this
- 22 filing now reflects actuals.
- 23 Q. Well, I realize that. I just want to know what
- happened.

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 A. (Asbury) Mr. Furino will explain what the --
- 2 Q. Take your time.
- 3 A. (Asbury) -- what the legal costs represented.
- 4 MR. EPLER: Mr. Chairman, I mean, we
- 5 would be happy to provide the detail on these legal
- 6 charges. I don't know if Mr. Furino has that detail with
- 7 him. But, since they are actual charges, there are
- 8 invoices, backup invoices, that list the amounts and the
- 9 purpose for them. And, we would be happy to provide these
- 10 to the Commission.
- 11 BY THE WITNESS:
- 12 A. (Furino) Well, what we do have available right now,
- 13 excuse me, is that, in December, there was 11,900,
- 14 which hit our common legal account and was allocated to
- the Non-Gl and Gl, which was --
- 16 BY MS. AMIDON:
- 17 Q. But what is the common --
- 18 A. (Furino) Well, okay. They were common costs that were
- in support of G1 and Non-G1 activities, and that was
- for Default Service work for September 2007. And,
- 21 there was another \$1,700 that was also common legal
- 22 expense that was associated with work performed in June
- of 2007, which was originally billed only to Non-G1 in
- July of 2007. So, there was an adjustment for that {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

- 1 that was made in December.
- 2 Q. Okay. So, the December costs relate to September, and
- 3 the January costs relate to June?
- 4 A. (Furino) The December costs include both pieces.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, I guess there's a
- few ways to proceed. And, I don't know if you feel that
- 7 your questions are getting adequately answered from the
- 8 stand based on Mr. Furino's memory or we could have a data
- 9 request or we could have this be something to be followed
- 10 up in the next filing?
- 11 MS. AMIDON: Okay. Well, I would like a
- 12 record request. And, I know that there was a record
- 13 request made in the same docket by OCA last year. And, I
- believe, I looked in the file, we just got a narrative
- 15 response, and we didn't get the attached billing detail.
- So, if this record request could have the billing detail,
- 17 that would be helpful. And, this is outside legal costs,
- 18 it's not -- correct? It's not like in-house Unitil?
- 19 WITNESS FURINO: That's correct.
- MS. AMIDON: Okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Do I take it then that
- 22 this is not information that you would expect to be filed
- in advance of our decision?
- MS. AMIDON: Correct. It's just {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

- 1 something that we will continue to look at, but it's
- 2 helpful to get this information in this docket so we can
- 3 look at it in the next six months. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, let's reserve
- 5 Exhibit Number 4 then for the record request.
- 6 (Exhibit 4 reserved)
- 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, Mr. Epler, you
- 8 understand what's being requested?
- 9 MR. EPLER: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
- 10 Chairman.
- 11 MS. AMIDON: Okay. And, I'm going to
- 12 turn it over to Mr. McCluskey.
- MR. McCLUSKEY: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. McCLUSKEY:
- 15 Q. I'd like to stay with the same exhibit, Schedule KMA-2,
- Page 3 of 5. And, I'd like to focus on Column (f),
- 17 uncollected accounting costs. I assume these are bad
- 18 debt expenses related to Default Service, is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. (Asbury) That's correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. What I did was I compared the monthly costs that
- 22 you're showing in this exhibit with projections from
- 23 the prior two filings of the Company for this same
- period, August '07 through January '08. And, if you {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

- 1 would accept subject to check, the total came to
- 2 \$118,000 approximately?
- 3 A. (Asbury) I'll accept that.
- 4 Q. And, you are now showing actual costs of "\$266,000".
- 5 The difference appears in the -- largely in the months
- of September, October, and November. Could you give
- 7 the background to this increase?
- 8 A. (Asbury) I would have to take that as a record request.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We'll reserve
- 11 Exhibit Number 5 then for that request.
- 12 (Exhibit 5 reserved)
- MR. McCLUSKEY: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. McCLUSKEY:
- 15 Q. My next question relates to -- that was Non-G1 that we
- were talking about. Now we're going to switch to the
- 17 G1 exhibits. And, I'm going to start with KMA-3,
- 18 Page 1 of 5. And, this exhibit shows how the Company
- 19 is developing the monthly Default Service rates for the
- 20 Gl class. And, the first cost component that it shows
- 21 is the reconciliation from a prior period, is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. (Asbury) That's correct.
- Q. And, you are proposing to recover an additional 109,000 {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

- for the three-month period, is that correct?
- 2 A. (Asbury) That's correct.
- 3 Q. And, the Footnote (1) explains how you arrived at that
- 4 number of 109,000, correct?
- 5 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 6 Q. And, you start that calculation by referencing the -- I
- 7 believe it's -- is it an undercollection, the 762,000?
- 8 A. (Asbury) Yes.
- 9 Q. An undercollection. So, you're seeking to recover a
- 10 portion of that 762,000 in this three-month period,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 13 Q. And, the rest of this page shows how you arrived at the
- 14 109,000. But I'd like to focus on the January 31, 2008
- overcollection -- undercollection balance of 762,000.
- You send the reader to Page 2 of 5 in order to see how
- 17 that number was developed. And, the number that we
- 18 were just referring to is the very last number on this
- schedule, the far right column, for January-08,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 22 Q. Now, this is your reconciliation calculation for the
- 23 six-month period August '07 through January '08. And,
- in order to do this calculation, you need several {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

inputs, one of which is the total costs for each month,

- total power costs for each month, is that correct?
- 3 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 4 Q. And, that's shown in Column (b)?
- 5 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 6 Q. Those costs are shown in Column (b)?
- 7 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 8 Q. And, you send the reader to Page 3 in order to see how
- 9 those numbers were calculated. Correct?
- 10 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 11 Q. And, the actual -- in the confidential portion of your
- 12 filing, you've actually got the unredacted version of
- 13 that schedule. It's the second from last schedule in
- 14 the confidential version.
- MR. EPLER: And that, just for the
- record, that would be stamped Page 163, is that correct?
- 17 MR. McCLUSKEY: 163, that's correct.
- 18 BY MR. McCLUSKEY:
- 19 Q. Okay?
- 20 A. (Asbury) I have that.
- 21 Q. Okay. What I want to ask questions on, and I'll come
- 22 back to, for the Commission's benefit, I will come back
- to the reconciliation calculation, which is on Page 2,
- which we just discussed. But I want to start by asking {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

- 1 questions which relate -- relating to the development
- of these total costs. And, in particular, there's a
- 3 footnote there to a -- in the first column, showing the
- 4 total G1 Default Service supply charges for December
- 5 '07, you're saying that you've included in there a cost
- of \$190,000 related to a December 2005 Transition
- 7 Service cost, correct?
- 8 A. (Asbury) That is correct. We had found an error where
- 9 a supplier charge had been incorrectly charged to G1
- 10 Transition Service, and should have been Default
- 11 Service. So, what's reflected here is that we've
- 12 corrected that figure and included the 190,000 in
- 13 Default Service and made a -- made the same credit to
- 14 the G1 Transition Service account, which is included in
- the Company's annual reconciliation and rate filing.
- So, it's a credit in a separate filing.
- 17 Q. And, if we can go step-by-step through that. You're
- 18 saying that there was a power supply charge related to
- 19 December '05 that you recovered through Transition
- 20 Service initially, is that correct?
- 21 A. (Asbury) That's correct.
- 22 Q. And, that amount was \$190,000?
- 23 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- Q. Approximately. And, you've since determined that that {DE 08-015} [REDACTED FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

- 1 charge related to Default Service, not to Transition
- 2 Service?
- 3 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 4 Q. But when did Default Service begin?
- 5 A. (Furino) In May 2005, for the G1 customers, Unitil
- 6 began providing bundled Transition and Default Service.
- 7 We continued, we had Transition Service in place for
- 8 two years for G1.
- 9 O. Yes.
- 10 A. (Furino) Which was May 2003 through April 2005. And,
- 11 then, in May we had a period of two six-month
- 12 contracts, which were considered bundled Transition and
- 13 Default Service. Customers entered that period of May
- 14 2005 all as Transition Service customers, but, as they
- 15 moved to Default Service, and, you know, Default
- Service grew, moved and came back, that Default Service
- 17 piece grew. In fact, I think -- yes. No, that's my
- 18 recollection.
- 19 Q. Okay. So, you're saying that you really -- you put in
- this 190,000 in an incorrect bucket, and presumably you
- just found this, that's why you're putting it in this
- 22 particular filing, is that correct?
- 23 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- Q. And, Transition Service no longer exists, correct? {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury Furino]

- 1 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 2 Q. But you're making some adjustment to a reconciliation
- 3 proceeding to reflect the fact that you are eliminating
- 4 the \$190,000 that you recovered previously to
- 5 Transition Service?
- 6 A. (Asbury) Yes. As I mentioned, the \$190,000 credit is
- 7 reflected in the other filing that Unitil made on the
- 8 same date.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, let's go back to Page 2 of 2, the
- 10 reconciliation calculation. And, for the
- 11 Commissioners' benefit, the "Total Costs" column, (b),
- 12 the costs for December '07 of 801 -- \$802,000, includes
- this \$190,000 adjustment, is that correct?
- 14 A. (Asbury) That's correct.
- 15 Q. And, so, that feeds through the reconciliation
- 16 calculation?
- 17 A. (Asbury) Correct.
- 18 Q. Now, you also have a footnote on that same row saying
- 19 that you've added \$32,000, almost \$33,000 of interest,
- 20 presumably related to this same \$190,000 adjustment, is
- 21 that correct?
- 22 A. (Asbury) That's correct.
- 23 Q. And, could you just explain what that interest relates
- 24 to?

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

- 1 A. (Asbury) Yes. Because the correction was dated back to
- 2 December 2005, when we made the entry to correct the
- 3 costs, we also corrected the interest. So, similar to
- 4 the costs, we had a credit that went to Transition and
- 5 a similar interest credit.
- 6 Q. So, in the reconciliation proceeding, in addition to
- 7 removing the 190,000, you've removed \$32,000 or \$33,000
- 8 of interest as well?
- 9 A. (Asbury) Correct. They're offsetting.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. (Asbury) Equally offsetting.
- 12 Q. Yes. Fine. Was any of this mentioned in your prefiled
- 13 testimony?
- 14 A. (Asbury) I don't think it was.
- 15 MR. McCLUSKEY: Those are all the
- questions I have. With regard to the discovery request
- 17 that we have, in relation to the uncollected costs, it had
- 18 been my intention to ask about the Company's collection
- 19 practices with regard to bad debt expenses. I wonder if
- 20 the Company, in addition to explaining the increase in the
- 21 bad debt costs, what actions the Company took in order to
- 22 contain the increase in bad debt expenses? If you could
- 23 respond to that in your discovery response?
- 24 WITNESS ASBURY: We could do that.

- 1 MR. McCLUSKEY: Thank you.
- 2 MS. AMIDON: All set.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- 4 BY CMSR. BELOW:
- 5 Q. Ms. Asbury, on KMA-4, Page 2 of 8, in the first column
- 6 you have the average kilowatt-hours, and it starts at
- 7 "125", and goes to "150", then "500". You see that?
- 8 A. (Asbury) Yes.
- 9 Q. Just out of curiosity, is there a reason why you have
- 10 "150", instead of "250" there?
- 11 A. (Asbury) No reason.
- 12 Q. Perhaps for the future you could use 250 there, as
- 13 that's double the 125 and half of the 500. And, I
- 14 think, in response to Ms. Hollenberg, you said in the
- 15 future you could provide the figures for the average
- 16 residential customer usage. Could you also continue to
- 17 provide the median usage when you provide the average
- 18 usage?
- 19 A. (Asbury) Yes.
- 20 CMSR. BELOW: Okay. Thank you. That's
- 21 all.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Two questions.
- 23 BY CHAIRMAN GETZ:
- Q. One, Ms. Asbury, on Exhibit 1, Page 84, to your {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

1		testimony, Page 3 of 8, Lines 9 through 12, and you're				
2		comparing the proposed rate to the current rate, and				
3		you talk about there's "an increase of 7.57 mills per				
4		kWh", and you say "This increase is primarily due to				
5		the fact that the current rate includes a large				
6		overcollection." I just want to make sure I understand				
7		what you're trying to tell me there. Is that the				
8		basically, that the current rate is lower than it				
9		otherwise would have been, because it had a credit from				
10		a previous overcollection, and, therefore, in reality,				
11		on a cost-to-cost basis, there really wouldn't have				
12		been much of an increase? Is that what you're				
13	A.	(Asbury) That's correct.				
14	Q.	Okay.				
15	A.	(Asbury) The bulk of the increase is due to the				
16		overcollection that's in the current rate.				
17	Q.	The overcollection that made the current rate				
18	A.	. (Asbury) Artificially low, if you will.				
19	Q.	Okay. I just wanted to make sure about that. And,				
20		then, I guess this probably goes on the confidential				
21		portion of the record, but I wanted to ask a couple of				
22		questions about				
23						
24	Α.	(Furino)				

[WITNESS PANEL: Asbury|Furino]

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	Q.	
6		
7		
8	Α.	(Furino)
9	Q.	
10	χ.	
11		
12		?
13	7\	(Furino);
	Α.	(Furino)
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
18 19		
19		
19 20		
19 20 21		

T					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. That's all I				
7	have. Redirect, Mr. Epler?				
8	MR. EPLER: I don't have any redirect.				
9	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.				
10	CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Then, the				
11	witnesses are excused. Thank you. Any objection to				
12	striking the identifications and admitting the exhibits				
13	into evidence?				
14	MR. EPLER: No objection.				
15	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objection,				
16	they will be admitted into evidence. Anything we need to				
17	address, before providing the opportunity for closings?				
18	(No verbal response)				
19	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then,				
20	Ms. Hollenberg.				
21	MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you. The Office				
22	of Consumer Advocate appreciates the Company's work and				
23	cooperation in this process, and has no objection to its				
24	request for approval. Thank you.				
	{DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)				

```
CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Amidon.
 1
 2
                         MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Staff has
 3
       reviewed the filing, and we believe that the Company
 4
       followed the solicitation process that the Commission
 5
       approved in the docket, the '05 docket. In addition, we
 6
       think that the resulting -- selection of resulting power
       costs and the resulting rates are market-based. And, we
 8
       also concur with the Company's selection of an
       all-inclusive energy and capacity bid.
 9
                         We would recommend that the Commission
10
11
       approve the petition. But just want to note that we will
12
       continue to be looking at administrative costs,
13
      particularly the Contracts office person -- personnel time
14
       devoted to the undertaking in New Hampshire. And, we're
15
       also going to be looking more closely at the uncollection
       -- I mean, the uncollected amounts to determine what's
16
       going on there, because that increase was pretty
17
       substantial over the estimated cost. Thank you.
18
19
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Epler.
20
                         MR. EPLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We
21
       will provide the information requested in the two record
22
       requests as soon as possible. And, just refer the
23
       Commission to the Motion for Confidential Treatment that's
24
       been filed as part of the package and to the request for
       {DE 08-015} [REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC USE] (03-19-08)
```

```
approvals in the petition. And, I have nothing further to
 1
 2
       add to that. Thank you very much.
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Thank you.
 3
       Then, we will close this hearing, take the matter under
 4
 5
       advisement, and anticipate that we'll timely issue our
 6
       order.
 7
                         (Whereupon the hearing ended at 10:33
 8
                         a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```